



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/515,699	02/29/2000	Cameron Shea Miner	AM9-99-0227	1342	
7	7590 06/27/2003				
Samuel A Kassatly			EXAMINER		
6819 Trinidad Drive San Jose, CA 95120			WON, Y		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2155	12-	
			DATE MAILED: 06/27/2003	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				1729
4	A	pplication No.	Applicant(s)	,
Advisory Action		9/515,699	MINER, CAMERON	SHEA
•		kaminer	Art Unit	
		oung N Won	2155	
The MAILING DATE of this communica	ation appears	on the cover sheet with th	ne correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 19 June 2003 FAILS TO P Therefore, further action by the applicant is req final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR	juired to avoi e either: (1) a e of Appeal (d abandonment of this ap timely filed amendment	plication. A proper rep which places the application	ply to a cation in
PERIOD	FOR REPL	Y [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date event, however, will the statutory period for reply exports. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST RIFLED TO 1.07(f).	te of this Advisor expire later than S EPLY WAS FILI	y Action, or (2) the date set forth i SIX MONTHS from the mailing da ED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF	te of the final rejection. THE FINAL REJECTION. S	See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136 have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the per 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the date of the control of the contr	riod of extension he shortened sta	and the corresponding amount of tutory period for reply originally se	f the fee. The appropriate ext et in the final Office action; or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on A 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension there				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be	entered beca	iuse:		
(a) they raise new issues that would req	uire further o	consideration and/or searc	ch (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (s	see Note belo	ow);		
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the ap issues for appeal; and/or	plication in b	etter form for appeal by n	naterially reducing or s	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without	out canceling	a corresponding number	of finally rejected clair	ns.
NOTE:				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the follow	wing rejectio	n(s):		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	would be	allowable if submitted in	a separate, timely filed	d amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ r application in condition for allowance be	request for re cause: <u>See A</u>	consideration has been co ttached Sheet.	onsidered but does NC	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be consi- raised by the Examiner in the final reject		se it is not directed SOLE	LY to issues which we	re newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed am explanation of how the new or amended	nendment(s) claims would	a)□ will not be entered of d be rejected is provided I	or b)⊠ will be entered below or appended.	and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as	s follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:				
Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected: 1-3,5-14,16-20,39,40,42	<u>2-46</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _	·			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	is a)[☐ approved or b)☐ dis	approved by the Exam	niner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure	Statement(s	s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s	s)	
10. ☐ Other:				
			maa	
			SAIN T. ALAM Y EXAMINE	R
Patent and Trademark Office				

Application/Control Number: 09/515,699

Art Unit: 2155

Response to Remarks

- 1. In response to the independent claims, Jain clearly teaches the applicant's argument of "contextual" information (see col.7, lines 27-53; Fig.3; and col.11, lines 10-17). Although Jain teaches of content-based, such limitation in the reference does not exclude that his system cannot be contextual or deal with contextual data, since the definition of content and context are not opposite.
- 2. In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, all the references teach of means for searching for information by means of querying.
- 3. In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections

Page 2

al 10. mg

Application/Control Number: 09/515,699

Art Unit: 2155

are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

4. With regard to a request for telephonic interview, please call the (703) 605-4241, to schedule a time.

Page 3